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Executive Summary

The Rockaway Beach Downtown Transportation Plan addresses key transportation issues in the City of Rockaway Beach (see Figure 1-1). The plan focuses on the six-block segment of U.S. 101 from South 3rd Avenue to North 3rd Avenue. It emphasizes pedestrian and bicycle travel and parking on the west side of U.S. 101, including the Port of Tillamook Bay railroad and Miller Street areas. The plan also addresses the intersection of U.S. 101/South 2nd Avenue/Anchor Street; crossings of the railroad and U.S. 101; Pacific Street; and a new trail and bridge in the Rock Creek/State Recreation Area wayside.

The plan’s goals are:

- Improve mobility, safety and accessibility for all travel modes.
- Improve pedestrian and bicycle circulation and facilities.
- Provide for improvements that can be implemented and that comply with applicable standards.

This plan has three sections: (1) Introduction, (2) Existing Conditions and Future Opportunities (3) Alternatives and Recommendations. The recommendations are summarized below.

Summary of Recommendations

U.S. 101

- Need: Improve pedestrian facilities and on-street parking, address safety and mobility issues at South 2nd Avenue/Anchor Street intersection, review warrants for left-turn lanes, consider benefits and drawbacks of Special Transportation Area (STA) designation.

- Recommendations:
  - West Side Sidewalk and Parking: Provide continuous parallel parking and sidewalk on west side of U.S. 101 from North 3rd Avenue to South 3rd Avenue. Would require conversion of existing diagonal parking area to parallel parking; additional parking would be added nearby.
  - U.S. 101/South 2nd Avenue/Anchor Street Intersection: Narrow entrance to Anchor Street with a landscaped, raised entrance; convert traffic to one lane; add parking on both sides; and add left-turn lane from South 2nd Avenue to U.S. 101.
  - Left-Turn Lanes on U.S. 101: Turn lanes are warranted by the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) methodology, but are not recommended because of downtown impacts, especially loss of parking.
SECTION 1

Introduction

The Rockaway Beach Downtown Transportation Plan is a focused effort that addresses key transportation issues in the City of Rockaway Beach (see Figure 1-1). The plan focuses on the six-block segment of U.S. 101 from South 3rd Avenue to North 3rd Avenue, with an emphasis on pedestrian and bicycle travel and parking on the west side of U.S. 101, including the Port of Tillamook Bay railroad and Miller Street areas. These issues were identified as priority issues by the city and through a review of existing transportation system conditions.

Planning Team and Process

Project Management Team

A project management team (PMT) was formed at the beginning of the planning process to provide overall guidance and policy direction for the transportation plan. The PMT, consisting of the Rockaway Beach, Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and consultant staffs, met initially in October 2002 to begin the project. PMT members met subsequently as part of the project advisory committee (PAC) and communicated regularly throughout the project.

Public Involvement

A focused public involvement process was conducted as part of the transportation plan to ensure the substantive participation of Rockaway Beach citizens, stakeholders and other interested parties in the plan. Key components of the public involvement process were meetings of the city-appointed PAC—made up of elected and appointed city officials, other agency representatives, business owners and citizens at large—and a public open house.

Downtown Development Committee

The PAC for this project was the existing Rockaway Beach Downtown Development Committee. In addition to meeting as the PAC, the committee also met several times without the consultant staff to review and discuss various aspects of the proposed plan. This additional involvement helped ensure that the proposed concepts had a thorough review with the local advisory committee.

PAC Meeting 1

The purpose of the first PAC meeting on Jan. 23, 2003, was to introduce the committee and the consultant team, provide an overview of the project, and present and discuss background information and draft alternative concepts. Background information included the draft goals and objectives, and the existing conditions and future opportunities memorandum. Draft alternatives were presented for U.S. 101, Miller Street, Pacific Street,
Goal 2: Pedestrians and Bicycles

Improve pedestrian and bicycle circulation and facilities.

Objectives:
1. Create better pedestrian and bicycle linkages across U.S. 101 to link parks, beach access and motels to downtown.
2. Identify appropriate streetscape improvements, including landscaping, pedestrian-scale lighting, benches and street trees.
3. Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety and comfort on U.S. 101, focusing on the west side.
4. Provide facilities, such as sidewalks, crosswalks, curb extensions and signage, for safe and pleasant pedestrian travel.
5. Identify opportunities for off-street pedestrian and bicycle facilities, such as shared-use paths, trails and greenways.
6. Provide pedestrian access across the railroad tracks.

Goal 3: Implementation

Provide for improvements that are implementable and comply with applicable standards.

Objectives:
1. Propose new or updated design standards for city streets, in particular to emphasize traffic calming and pedestrian and bicycle travel.
2. Develop designs that improve local street connectivity as applicable.
3. Ensure that new facilities (and existing facilities as feasible) comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).
4. Develop designs that minimize environmental impacts.
5. Develop designs that are cost-effective.
6. Develop designs that meet applicable local, county, state and federal plans, standards and criteria.
7. Develop a plan with sufficient detail to qualify for funding of engineering and construction phases.

Plan and Policy Review

As an initial step in the planning process, the consultant team reviewed applicable city, county, and state plans and policies relevant to the transportation planning process. The purpose of this review was to provide a policy context for the planning effort, help ensure that proposed projects were consistent with existing relevant plans and policies, and aid in the development of implementing ordinances for the transportation plan.
• Scenic Byway Management Plan for the Nehalem, Tillamook, and Nestucca Regions of the U.S. 101 Corridor in Oregon (ODOT, 1997)

• Pacific Coast Scenic Byway Corridor Management Plan for U.S. 101 in Oregon (ODOT, 1997)

Federal

• Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) and Implementing Regulations (23 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 450 and 49 CFR 613)
are generally orthogonal with the exception of U.S. 101/South 2nd Avenue/Anchor Street. This intersection has a large paved throat on the east side of U.S. 101.

There are three primary street cross sections along U.S. 101. The northernmost cross section from North 3rd Avenue to North 1st Avenue consists of a sidewalk and on-street parking on the east side, two travel lanes, and a gravel shoulder on the west side. The middle cross section from North 1st Avenue to South 2nd Avenue consists of a sidewalk and on-street parking on the east side, two travel lanes, and a paved shoulder and diagonal parking on the west side. The southernmost cross section from South 2nd Avenue to South 3rd Avenue consists of a sidewalk and on-street parking on the east side, two travel lanes, and a gravel shoulder on the west side. Appendix C (Part I) shows the approximate dimensions for each block along U.S. 101 in the study area.

The posted speed limit on U.S. 101 through downtown Rockaway Beach is 35 mph, while the side streets are posted at 25 mph. This is a typical speed limit for a downtown area of this type.

There are two parking scenarios along the U.S. 101 corridor. On-street parking is marked in a parking lane on the east side of U.S. 101 adjacent to a new curb and sidewalk. Off-street parking is provided in a diagonal parking frontage area on the west side of U.S. 101 partially in the ODOT and Port of Tillamook Bay rights-of-way.

The Port of Tillamook Bay railroad right-of-way is immediately adjacent to the western edge of U.S. 101. This creates the need for vehicles on the side streets to cross the railroad tracks in close proximity to U.S. 101. There are three rail crossings in the study area: North 3rd Avenue, South 1st Avenue and South 3rd Avenue.

Miller Street is in the eastern portion of the Port of Tillamook Bay right-of-way from South 2nd Avenue to South 3rd Avenue. It is used as front door access to commercial and residential properties. This street is paved, but not marked for parking. Many vehicles were observed parallel parking on the west side of Miller Street.

A portion of Miller Street is north of Rock Creek, mostly within the Port of Tillamook Bay right-of-way.

Miller Street is west of Pacific Street, a wide, local street that provides access to a motel, a few businesses and residences. Pacific Street is paved from South 3rd Avenue to South 2nd Avenue, gravel from South 2nd Avenue to South 1st Avenue. With a 60-foot right-of-way, Pacific Street can be used to provide additional parking.

Motor Vehicle Operations
The study area has been analyzed for motor vehicle operations for the existing condition (2002) and future condition (2022) based on the existing roadway geometry and lane configuration. Crash data have been gathered and traffic counts have been taken at key intersections to use in this analysis. These data are used to determine roadway capacity, and to identify and address safety concerns in the study area.
See Appendix C (Part 3) for the balanced 2002 30th-highest-hour traffic volumes in Rockaway Beach.

Left-turn lanes were analyzed for each U.S. 101 intersection based on the ODOT *TPAU Analysis Procedures and Methods for Left Turn-Lane Criteria*. It was determined that the left-turn lane criteria are satisfied for the following intersections: U.S. 101/North 3rd Avenue, U.S. 101/North 2nd Avenue, U.S. 101/South 2nd Avenue and U.S. 101/South 3rd Avenue. In general, the turn lane criteria are based on the volume of turning traffic in relation to the volume of opposing through traffic. See Appendix C (Part 4) for left-turn lane details and results.

**Analysis Inputs**

Using the year 2002 30th-highest-hour traffic volumes, an operational analysis of existing conditions was conducted with Synchro, version 5, for the four study intersections. Synchro is based on the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), Transportation Research Board Special Report 209. For each of the intersections, results from the Synchro HCM unsignalized report are reported in this transportation plan.

The following inputs were used in the analysis:

- Ideal saturation flow rate: 1,800 vehicles/hour
- Intersection geometry: Intersection geometry is based on observations from the field visit and sketches provided in the traffic counts.
- Synchro defaults for the peak hour factor (0.92) and heavy vehicle percentages (2 percent) were used in the analysis.
- Pedestrians: Minimal, less than 10 per hour across each minor approach
- Grade = 0 percent
- Posted speeds were entered for each segment.
- Lane width: 12 feet
- Right turn on red: Allowed

**State Highway Mobility Standards**

All of the study intersections included in the operational analysis of existing and future forecasted conditions in Rockaway Beach involve a state highway. The 1999 OHP designates U.S. 101 as a statewide National Highway System (NHS) non-freight route. In Rockaway Beach, the speed limit on U.S. 101 is 35 mph, and the section of highway is inside the urban growth boundary (UGB) in a non-metropolitan planning organization (MPO) area. Therefore, the mobility standard designated by the OHP for this section of roadway is a $v/c$ ratio of less than 0.80. Each of the study intersections currently is unsignalized and the minor approaches have speed limits of less than 45 mph. Therefore, the OHP designates a maximum $v/c$ ratio of 0.85 for local road approaches in the UGB (non-MPO areas, speed limit of less than 45 mph).
Crash data from January 1, 1997, to December 31, 2001, were obtained from ODOT for each intersection. Table 2-3 summarizes the number of crashes resulting in property damage only, injuries and fatalities at each of the six intersections, including the entering approaches, from years 1997 to 2001. The crash analysis is based on reported accidents only.

**TABLE 2-3**
Crash Analysis (Year 1997 to 2001 Data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Property Damage</th>
<th>Injuries</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Crash Rate&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101 at North 3rd Avenue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101 at North 2nd Avenue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101 at North 1st Avenue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101 at South 1st Avenue</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101 at South 2nd Avenue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101 at South 3rd Avenue</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ODOT Crash Data, Years 1997 to 2001.
<sup>1</sup> Crash rate in terms of million entering vehicles. N/A indicates average daily traffic volumes not available.

Using average ADT volumes for the 5-year period, crash rates were determined for each intersection and are summarized in Table 2-3.

All intersections with available average daily traffic (ADT) volume information have crash rates lower than 0.31 per million entering vehicles, which does not indicate safety deficiencies.

**Segment Crash Rates—Existing Conditions**

As described in the 2000 State Highway Crash Rate Tables published by the Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit, U.S. 101 is considered a non-freeway, primary highway. Table 2-4 summarizes the year 2000 crash rate and the 5-year average crash rate (1996 to 2000) for the segment of U.S. 101 within the Rockaway Beach city limits.

**TABLE 2-4**
Crash Rates along U.S. 101 in Rockaway Beach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Year 2000 Crash Rate&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>5-year Average Crash Rate&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101 – Rockaway Beach (Urban)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2000 State Highway Crash Rate Table, Crash Analysis and Reporting Unit, ODOT.
<sup>1</sup> Crash rate in terms of million vehicle miles.

On urban sections of primary, non-freeway segments throughout Oregon, the 5-year statewide average crash rate was 3.52 crashes per million vehicle miles (MVM) and the 2000 statewide average rate was 2.95 per MVM. As shown in Table 2-4, both the year 2000 and
of South 2nd and South 3rd Avenues. Marked “parallel line” crosswalks also exist on all streets crossing U.S. 101 between North 3rd and South 3rd Avenues. No sidewalks exist on the west side of U.S. 101. No sidewalks exist on the east-west streets providing beach access (North 1st, South 1st, South 2nd, South 3rd Avenues).

Bicycle Facilities

U.S. 101 is designated as the Oregon Coast Bike Route and serves thousands of cyclists each year. A 7-foot-wide shoulder bikeway accommodates southbound bicyclists on U.S. 101 in the study area. No bike facilities exist in the northbound direction. Most cyclists along this route travel southbound, in the direction of prevailing winds. Miller Street functions as a local on-street bike route because of its low traffic volume. No off-street multi-use paths or bike lanes exist in Rockaway Beach. No bike parking facilities exist on either side of U.S. 101.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Circulation Issues

The most notable deficiency in the pedestrian environment is the lack of sidewalks on the west side of U.S. 101. Also, U.S. 101 creates the most significant crossing impediment to pedestrian and bicycle travel in Rockaway Beach. This is because most homes and businesses exist on the east side of U.S. 101, and the beach and tourist lodging facilities are west of U.S. 101. South 1st and Nehalem Avenues are the primary access points to the beach and, therefore, are the two crossings with the greatest pedestrian use. Pedestrian trip generators, such as the school, library, bank, post office, transit stop and future civic center, are located east of U.S. 101. Another pedestrian and bicycle impediment to north-south travel is located on Miller Street at Rock Creek, where Miller Street does not cross the creek. Currently, some pedestrians walk around the creek and onto the railroad tracks to continue on Miller Street north or south of Rock Creek.

Future Conditions and Opportunities

Motor Vehicles

Year 2022 Traffic Volumes

Year 2022, future, forecasted, no-build, 30th-highest-hour traffic volumes were developed to evaluate future operating conditions in Rockaway Beach at each of the four study intersections. The ODOT Future Volume Tables, which are available on the ODOT Web site4, were used to determine a projected growth rate of 1.3 percent along U.S. 101 within the Rockaway Beach city limits. The ODOT Future Volume Tables use historical data to project future ADT volumes along state highways. The 1.3 percent growth rate was applied to year 2002 30th-highest-hour volumes to calculate year 2022, future, forecasted, 30th-highest-hour traffic volumes.

See Appendix C (Part 7) for growth rate calculations and Appendix C (Part 8) for the 2022, future, forecasted, 30th-highest-hour traffic volumes at each of the study intersections.

---

across rails. Based on field observation, it appears that the vertical profile may restrict the installation of a grated crossing. The proximity of the rail to the edge of U.S. 101 most likely will restrict the ability to transition the vertical profile between the elevated rails and the highway elevation.

A technical field survey and preliminary engineering should be performed to identify if any of the three crossings could be reconstructed with a grated rail crossing.

Pedestrian crossings could be improved by constructing a sidewalk or path that would level the approach grade across the railroad tracks. A designated sidewalk would help direct pedestrians safely across the tracks and away from vehicular traffic.

**Bicycle and Pedestrian**

The following potential future opportunities were identified on the basis of the review of existing conditions:

- A pedestrian/bicycle bridge across Rock Creek would allow safe and continuous pedestrian and bicycle circulation on Miller Street along the west side of the downtown core area. A bicycle and pedestrian path could connect Miller Street south of Rock Creek between the city-operated parking lot at South 1st Avenue and the railroad tracks. Miller Street then could function as a local north-south pedestrian alternative to U.S. 101. This is the current location of the Chamber of Commerce “caboose.” It might be necessary to relocate the caboose to allow space for a new path.

- The entrance to the Rockaway Beach State Recreation Area on South 1st Avenue could be enhanced as a pedestrian gateway to the beach. This could take the form of a wide sidewalk or esplanade entrance to the beach.

- There is ample room on the east side of the railroad right-of-way for a sidewalk or pedestrian path along U.S. 101. This walkway should be set back from U.S. 101 travel lanes. A buffer, such as on-street parallel parking, off-street diagonal parking or vegetation, would improve the safety and comfort of this walkway.
and 12-foot-wide travel lane on the west side of U.S. 101 (see Figure 3-1). No changes are proposed to the cross section on the east side of U.S. 101, which includes a 10-foot-wide sidewalk, an 8-foot-wide parking lane and a 12-foot-wide travel lane.

<show cross-section and new plan view>

**Sidewalk**

The cross section allows for a 6-foot-wide sidewalk and parallel parking for the entire length of U.S. 101 between North 3rd Avenue and South 3rd Avenue. Currently, there is no sidewalk on the west side of U.S. 101. As shown in the cross section and plan view figures, the existing ODOT right-of-way cannot accommodate all of these features. Although the demarcation between the ODOT right-of-way and the adjacent Port of Tillamook Bay right-of-way varies, the sidewalk on the west side would be located primarily on the Port of Tillamook Bay right-of-way. A low (2-foot-high) wall should be provided to discourage trespassing across the railroad tracks and guide pedestrians to the appropriate crossings of the railroad tracks. The Port of Tillamook Bay staff has preliminarily provided its approval for this use.

**Parking**

To provide a continuous sidewalk on the west side of the highway, some changes in parking would be required. Currently, informal parallel parking exists on the west side of U.S. 101 except between Nehalem Avenue and South 2nd Avenue where there is a diagonal parking area separated from the highway by railroad ties. It is proposed that this parking area be converted to parallel parking to provide a continuous sidewalk on the west side of U.S. 101. This change would result in a loss of parking on this block; however, several additional parking spaces could be provided nearby (see further discussion in the Parking Estimate subsection). This change also would allow a 10-foot-wide sidewalk to be provided in this area, mirroring the east side of the street and greatly helping define the core area of downtown Rockaway Beach. If the existing diagonal parking configuration is retained, a continuous sidewalk could not be provided.

**Pedestrian Crossings**

Marked crosswalks demarcate locations for pedestrians to cross the street, alert drivers to the presence of pedestrians and alert drivers to their legal obligation to yield when pedestrians are in the crosswalk. Typically, crosswalks are marked by two parallel lines.

Pedestrian crossings are safer and more comfortable when the crossing distance is shorter. For this reason, curb extensions from the new west side sidewalk should be used to the extent possible at corners where there are marked or unmarked crossings of U.S. 101.

A more aesthetic treatment for crosswalks would involve the use of concrete pavers or stamped asphalt. Stamped and dyed asphalt is the less expensive of the two options, but does not last as long as concrete pavers. Both of these treatments provide a color and texture change that would enhance the appearance of the roadway and help define the area of downtown Rockaway Beach. Installing these treatments on U.S. 101 would require approval from ODOT.
such as cobblestone or brick, also can be considered. A driveway style approach should be constructed at either end of the apron to allow for safe vehicle undercarriage clearance and passage of emergency vehicles. Landscaped areas are shown in Figure 3-XX to frame the streetscape and act as traffic calming.

The other option presented at the open house included a curb extension without the raised approach or the landscaped area. While this alternative was supported, the additional features of other alternative were preferred by the open house participants.

**Recommendation**

- Based on the discussion above and input from the PAC and the general public, the changes shown in Figure 3-XX are recommended for implementation.

**Left-Turn Lanes on U.S. 101**

As discussed in Section 2, the need for left-turn lanes from U.S. 101 to the cross streets was analyzed as part of the review of existing and future conditions. This potential need has been raised before by the ODOT staff as well as by the recent city hall traffic studies. Based on the ODOT guidelines, left-turn lane criteria are satisfied at all U.S. 101 intersections in the study area (U.S. 101/North 3rd Avenue, U.S. 101/North 2nd Avenue, U.S. 101/South 2nd Avenue and U.S. 101/South 3rd Avenue) for northbound and southbound left-turning vehicles. The installation of turn lanes at each intersection would improve vehicle operations and keep through traffic moving on U.S. 101.

The addition of left-turn lanes in the study area would mean the removal of most of the on-street parking along U.S. 101 where turn lanes would be installed, including the recommended new parking areas. While vehicle mobility and safety are a goal of the city, on-street parking in the downtown core area is considered a higher priority because it is crucial for the economic success of the downtown area.

**Recommendation**

- Both the PAC and the open house participants strongly opposed adding left-turn lanes on U.S. 101. The existing two-lane configuration on U.S. 101 in the downtown core area should remain.

- If left-turn lanes are needed to address mobility or safety problems on U.S. 101, the possibility of locating them outside the downtown core area, while still providing access to key destinations, should be explored.

**Special Transportation Area**

The PAC and city staffs are interested in pursuing an STA designation on a portion of U.S. 101 in Rockaway Beach to better balance the needs of through traffic with local traffic and economic development. There are concerns that future changes to U.S. 101 could conflict with the city's goals of maintaining and enhancing the downtown area as an aesthetically appealing destination that functions well for pedestrians and bicyclists and is economically vibrant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Is Characteristic Present Today or Likely in Future?</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must straddle a state highway; any new development to be built off the highway or only on one side</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Most existing development is on east side of U.S. 101. Would be stronger candidate for STA if development were on both sides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannot be located on a freeway or expressway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>U.S. 101 is a statewide highway and not a freeway or expressway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area has a majority, if not all, of STA attributes, either as existing or planned uses and infrastructure through an adopted plan</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Issues listed as &quot;maybe&quot; in this table would need to be resolved, such as through future plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA does not apply to entire city</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proposed STA area would be in downtown core area such as South 3rd Avenue to North 3rd Avenue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA located in compact area with local street network to facilitate local auto and pedestrian circulation</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Development is relatively compact, but not entirely. Local street network provides moderate circulation off U.S. 101, but could be improved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic speeds are slow, generally 25 mph or less</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Current posted speed on U.S. 101 in downtown area is 25 mph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify strategies for addressing freight and through traffic including speed, possible signalization, parallel or other routes, actions elsewhere in the corridor</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Would need to study options for parallel routes to ensure adequate traffic capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In STA area, there are mixed uses; buildings are close together</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>There are mixed uses and buildings in the core area close together. However, development on the west side of U.S. 101 is limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks have ample width and are adjacent to highway and buildings</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sidewalks on the east side have been widened. Improvements proposed in this plan would improve sidewalks and pedestrian circulation on the west side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public road connections are preferred over private driveways</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Access management is a key component of an STA. Some driveway closures might be required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-street parking or shared parking lots are located behind or to the side of buildings</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>There are no parking lots on U.S. 101 in front of buildings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets are designed for ease of crossing by pedestrians</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Improvements proposed in this plan would improve pedestrian crossing conditions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 This section is based on the Special Transportation Area (STA) description in the Oregon Highway Plan. As of May 2003, the STA designation process is under review.
of a “slow street” is also known as a woonerf, a Dutch word that means “street for living.” This would be accomplished by placing trees, planters, chicanes and parallel parking along the roadway. Vehicle traffic would continue to use the street, and the one-way southbound vehicular access and on-street parking on the west side of the street would be maintained.

A different roadway texture and color also should be used to signify that the street is a “slow street.” Typically, pavers or stamped asphalt could be used in this context. To strengthen the identity of downtown Rockaway Beach, brick pavers could be used that match the ones used in the sidewalk on the east side of U.S. 101. With these features, the street maintains its function for vehicles, but also supports shared use with pedestrians and bicyclists.

A low barrier would be added between the east side of the street and the Port of Tillamook Bay railroad tracks to direct pedestrians to the street crossings and discourage them from crossing the tracks in other locations.

The new pedestrian-oriented Miller Street would provide an opportunity for a series of interpretive signs along the east side of Miller Street (between the street and the railroad). These signs could provide images and explanations about the history of Rockaway Beach, especially in relation to the railroad. This series of historical signs would provide an added attraction for visitors and residents.

[insert graphic]

**Recommendation**

- The three concepts above (Rock Creek biking/walking bridge, biking/walking trail through the wayside lot, and Miller Street “slow street” concept) were presented to the PAC and the open house participants and are recommended for implementation.

- Interpretive signs along the east side of Miller Street are recommended.

**Pacific Street**

To provide additional on-street parking options near the downtown core area, the consultant team developed four cross-section options for Pacific Street between South 1st Avenue and South 3rd Avenue. The cross sections assume a 60-foot right-of-way. The primary difference among the options is the provision of parallel or diagonal on-street parking, as follows:

- Option 1: parallel parking on west side, diagonal parking on east side
- Option 2: parallel parking on both sides
- Option 3: diagonal parking on west side, parallel parking on east side
- Option 4: diagonal parking on both sides

Based on review by the PAC and the open house participants, Option 3 was selected as the preferred cross section. The option would include space for diagonal parking on the west side of the street and parallel parking on the east side of the street (see Figure 3-XX). The mixture of diagonal and parallel parking was preferred because it provides more parking than is currently available, but it also strikes a balance with the other needs and uses of the
Additional information on parking in the downtown area is the 2002 city hall traffic report prepared by CTS Engineers.

**TABLE 3-2**
Estimate of Existing and Proposed Parking Spaces¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Existing Parking Spaces</th>
<th>Proposed Parking Spaces</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West side of U.S. 101 (North 3rd Avenue to</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Loss of three spaces because of addition of curb extensions, which</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nehalem Avenue)</td>
<td>Parallel informal</td>
<td>Parallel (marked)</td>
<td>are integral part of pedestrian improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(unmarked)</td>
<td>with curb extensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West side of U.S. 101 (Nehalem Avenue to</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Loss of 11 spaces because of change from diagonal to parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 2nd Avenue)</td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>Parallel (marked)</td>
<td>and 3 spaces from addition of curb extensions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with curb extensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West side of U.S. 101 (South 2nd Avenue to</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Loss of two spaces because of addition of curb extensions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 3rd Avenue)</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>Parallel (marked)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(unmarked)</td>
<td>with curb extensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Street (South 1st Avenue to South 3rd</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Estimated addition of 18 spaces because of change from parallel to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue)</td>
<td>Some parallel, some</td>
<td>Parallel on east side,</td>
<td>diagonal. Would require funds to pave and improve street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>diagonal (unmarked)</td>
<td>diagonal on west side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(marked)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller Street (South 1st Avenue to South 3rd</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Loss of eight spaces because of addition of landscaping islands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue)</td>
<td>Parallel on west side</td>
<td>Parallel on west side</td>
<td>Construct only after additional parking has been created elsewhere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with landscaping islands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 2nd Ave (U.S. 101 to Beacon Street)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Loss of two spaces because of safety improvements at Anchor Street/U.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>101 intersection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor Street (South 2nd Avenue to South 3rd</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Estimated addition of 12 spaces by marking parallel parking on both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue)</td>
<td>Parallel, assume one</td>
<td>Parallel (two sides)</td>
<td>sides of street (accounts for curveways). Low cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>side only (unmarked)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Beacon Street</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Unimproved street with 40-foot right-of-way. Consider one-way traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(South 2nd Avenue to South 3rd Avenue)</td>
<td>(Unimproved street)</td>
<td>Parallel (west side</td>
<td>northbound on Beacon Street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct new parking lot at police station</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Estimate is from CTS Engineers city hall study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site after civic center constructed.</td>
<td>(Occupied by Police</td>
<td>Parking lot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Station)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 2nd Avenue west of railroad tracks</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Addition of four spaces because of conversion from parallel to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parallel</td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>diagonal. Low cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(unmarked)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Estimated Spaces                       | 248                     | 321                     | Net increase of 73 spaces (estimated)                               |

¹ Estimates are based on block length, with subtractions for driveways. Assumes standard parking dimensions (20-foot length for parallel parking space; 14-foot length for diagonal).
Implementation

Construction Cost Estimates

Costs to construct the various projects were estimated at a planning level (see Table 3-4). Based on the conceptual design of each project, a 60 percent contingency has been included in the estimate to account for potential unknowns typically identified during preliminary and final design. The estimates do not include right-of-way, major structures (for example, retaining walls), engineering, wetland or utility relocation costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Additional Assumptions</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101: Add parking, sidewalk and crossing barrier wall to west side (North 3rd Avenue to South 3rd Avenue),</td>
<td>Assumes project is 2,100 feet long = 0.40 mile. Project is asphalt overlay and new roadway to include two 12-foot-wide lanes, parallel parking on west side (new), 6-foot-wide shoulder on both sides. Includes 0.4 mile of landscaping with pedestrian protection along railroad. Includes curb, 6-foot-wide sidewalk and drainage on both sides of the road.</td>
<td>$1.15 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. 101/South 2nd Avenue/Anchor Street Intersection: Reconstruct intersection per plan.</td>
<td>Assumes intersection reconfiguration and partial reconstruction. Raised decorative pavement on Anchor Street with landscaping on both sides. Extend sidewalk and curb on south side of intersection to narrow entrance throat.</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller Street: Bridge over Rock Creek, pedestrian/bicycle path across wayside parking lot, &quot;slow street&quot; from South 1st Avenue to South 3rd Avenue.</td>
<td>Assumes project is 1,300 feet long = 0.25 mile. Project is decorative pavement roadway reconstruction to include 20 feet of pavement width, parallel parking on one side, shared bike/ped/vehicle facility. Pedestrian protection along railroad. Includes 0.28 mile of landscaping. Includes curb, 5-foot-wide sidewalk and drainage on both sides of the road. (Bridge = $42,000; trail = $6,000; &quot;slow street&quot; = $862,000.)</td>
<td>$910,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Street: Reconstruct from South 1st Avenue to South 3rd Avenue to include diagonal parking on the west side, parallel parking on the east side and sidewalks on both sides.</td>
<td>Assumes project is 1,300 feet long = 0.25 mile. Project is asphalt overlay and new roadway to include two 10-foot-wide lanes, parallel parking on one side, diagonal parking on opposite side, no bike lanes. Includes 0.25 mile of landscaping. Includes curb, 5-foot-wide sidewalk and drainage on both sides of the road.</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. 101
- West side sidewalk and parking
- U.S. 101/South 2nd Avenue/Anchor Street intersection
### TABLE 3-5
Potential Funding Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Eligible Projects</th>
<th>Funding Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Bike/Pedestrian Grants</td>
<td>Administered by ODOT’s Pedestrian and Bicycle Program. Must be in public right-of-way.</td>
<td>Bike/pedestrian</td>
<td>2 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Development Charges (SDCs)</td>
<td>Fees on new construction allocated for parks, streets and public improvements. Where available, funds can be used for right-of-way acquisition and trail construction.</td>
<td>Bike/pedestrian/roadway</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/county bond measures approved by voters</td>
<td>Funds can be used for right-of-way acquisition, engineering, design and construction.</td>
<td>Bike/pedestrian/roadway</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Improvement Districts</td>
<td>Districts typically are created by local property owners, imposing a “new tax” to fund improvements. Funds can be used for right-of-way acquisition and construction.</td>
<td>Bike/pedestrian/roadway</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Parks Recreational Trails Fund</td>
<td>Construction funds for trail projects</td>
<td>Off-roadway bike/pedestrian</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Access Fund</td>
<td>Construction funds for beach access improvements</td>
<td>Beach access</td>
<td>Varies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TSP Exemption
Cities in Oregon are required under the state Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) to prepare and periodically update a transportation system plan (TSP). Because Rockaway Beach has not had the need or opportunity to conduct a full TSP and because this downtown transportation plan fulfills only some of the TPR requirements, documentation to aid in the city in requesting a TSP exemption from the state has been prepared as part of this plan and provided to the city.